arXiv:1603.04145v1 [math.NT] 14 Mar 2016

On analogues of the Arakawa-Kaneko zeta functions of Mordell-Tornheim type

Takuma Ito

Abstract

In this paper, we construct certain analogues of the Arakawa-Kaneko zeta functions. We prove functional relations between these functions and the Mordell-Tornheim multiple zeta functions. Furthermore we give some formulas among Mordell-Tornheim multiple zeta values as their applications.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the rational integer ring, $\mathbb{N}$ the set of natural numbers, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\mathbb{Q}$ the rational number field and $\mathbb{C}$ the complex number field. We denote n repetitions of m by $\{m\}^n$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Arakawa and Kaneko [1] introduced the "Arakawa-Kaneko zeta function" defined by

$$\xi(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r; s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{s-1}}{e^t - 1} \mathrm{Li}_{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_r}(1 - e^{-t}) dt \tag{1}$$

for $(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(s) > 0$, where $\mathrm{Li}_{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_r}(z)$ is the polylogarithm defined by

$$\mathrm{Li}_{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_r}(z) = \sum_{0<m_1<m_2<\cdots<m_r} \frac{z^{m_r}}{m_1^{k_1} m_2^{k_2} \cdots m_r^{k_r}} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C},\ |z| < 1).$$

When $r = 1$, $\xi(k; s)$ is also denoted by $\xi_k(s)$. They proved that for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\xi(\{1\}^{r-1}, k; m + 1)$ can be written in terms of multiple zeta values (MZVs) in [1, Theorem 9].

On the other hand, Matsumoto defined the "Mordell-Tornheim r-ple zeta function" by

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} &\zeta_{MT,r}(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_r; s_{r+1}) \\ &= \sum_{m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_1^{s_1} m_2^{s_2} \cdots m_r^{s_r} \left(\sum_{j=1}^r m_j\right)^{s_{r+1}}} \quad (s_i \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(rs_i + s_{r+1}) > r), \end{aligned}$$

and proved that this function can be continued meromorphically to the whole $\mathbb{C}^{r+1}$-space in [4] and [5]. This zeta function in the double sum case was first

studied by Tornheim [8] for the values at positive integers in 1950s. He gave some evaluation formulas for $\zeta_{MT,2}(k_1,k_2;k_3)$ for $k_1,k_2,k_3 \in \mathbb{N}$. Mordell [6] independently proved that $\zeta_{MT,2}(k,k;k)\pi^{-3k} \in \mathbb{Q}$ for all even $k \geq 2$. Tsumura [9, Theorem 4.5] and Nakamura [7, Theorem 1] showed certain functional relations among the Mordell-Tornheim double zeta functions and the Riemann zeta functions.

In this paper, for $\Bbbk \in \mathbb{N}^r$, we first define the function

$$\xi_{MT}(\Bbbk;s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{s-1}}{e^t-1} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathrm{Li}_{k_j}(1-e^{-t})dt \quad (s \in \mathbb{C},\ \Re(s) > 0)$$

which can be regarded as an analogue of the Arakawa-Kaneko zeta function of Mordell-Tornheim type (see Definition 1). We construct functional relations between $\xi_{MT}(\Bbbk;s)$ and the Mordell-Tornheim multiple zeta functions (see Theorem 8). For example,

$$\begin{aligned}&\zeta(2)^2\zeta(s) - 2\zeta(2)\xi_{MT}(2;s) + \xi_{MT}(2,2;s)\\ &= \zeta_{MT,3}(2,2,0;s) + 2s\zeta_{MT,3}(2,1,0;s+1) + s(s+1)\zeta_{MT,3}(1,1,0;s+2).\end{aligned}$$

This can be proved by the method similar to the proof of [1, Theorem 8].

Secondly, we show certain relation formulas among Mordell-Tornheim multiple zeta values (see Corollary 9). For example,

$$\begin{aligned}&\zeta(2)^2\zeta(m+1) - 2\zeta(2)\frac{1}{m!}\zeta_{MT,m+1}(2,\{1\}^m;1) + \frac{1}{m!}\zeta_{MT,m+2}(2,2,\{1\}^m;1)\\ &= \zeta_{MT,3}(2,2,0;m+1) + 2(m+1)\zeta_{MT,3}(2,1,0;m+2)\\ &\quad + (m+1)(m+2)\zeta_{MT,3}(1,1,0;m+3) \quad (m \in \mathbb{N},\ m \geq 3),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\zeta_{MT,3}(2,1,1;1) = 2\zeta(2)\zeta(3) - \zeta(5).$$

Lastly, we consider a generalization of main results (see Theorem 15).

2 Preliminaries

We first construct a Mordell-Tornheim type analogue of $\xi(k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_r;s)$ and continue it analytically to an entire function. We define $\{C^{\Bbbk}_{m,MT}\}$ by

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{r}\mathrm{Li}_{k_j}(1-e^{-t})}{e^t-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} C^{\Bbbk}_{m,MT}\frac{t^m}{m!} \tag{3}$$

for $\Bbbk = (k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$. These are generalizations of poly-Bernoulli numbers $\{C^{(k)}_m\}$ defined by

$$\frac{\mathrm{Li}_k(1-e^{-t})}{e^t-1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} C^{(k)}_m\frac{t^m}{m!}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see [1]). Since $\mathrm{Li}_k(1-e^{-t}) = O(t)$ $(t \to 0)$ and $\mathrm{Li}_k(1-e^{-t}) = O(t)$ $(t \to \infty)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can define the following function.

Definition 1. *For $\Bbbk = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(s) > 1 - r$, let*

$$\xi_{MT}(\Bbbk; s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{s-1}}{e^t - 1} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathrm{Li}_{k_j}(1 - e^{-t}) dt, \tag{4}$$

where $\Gamma(s)$ is the gamma function.

The integral on the right-hand side of (4) converges absolutely uniformly in the region $\Re(s) > 1 - r$. When $r = 1$, $\xi_{MT}(\Bbbk; s) = \xi_k(s)$ holds for $\Bbbk = (k) \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 2. *For $\Bbbk = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$, the function $\xi_{MT}(\Bbbk; s)$ can be continued analytically to an entire function, and satisfies*

$$\xi_{MT}(\Bbbk; -m) = (-1)^m C^{\Bbbk}_{m,MT} \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}_0). \tag{5}$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} A(\Bbbk; s) &= \int_C \frac{t^{s-1}}{e^t - 1} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathrm{Li}_{k_j}(1 - e^{-t}) dt \\ &= \left(e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s} - 1\right) \int_\varepsilon^\infty \frac{t^{s-1}}{e^t - 1} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathrm{Li}_{k_j}(1 - e^{-t}) dt \\ &\quad + \int_{C_\varepsilon} \frac{t^{s-1}}{e^t - 1} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathrm{Li}_{k_j}(1 - e^{-t}) dt \quad (s \in \mathbb{C}), \end{aligned}$$

where C is the contour which is the path consisting of the real axis (top side), a circle C_ε around the origin of radius ε (sufficiently small), and the positive real axis (bottom side). Since the integrand has no singularity on C and the contour integral converges absolutely for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we can see that $A(\Bbbk; s)$ is entire. Suppose $\Re(s) > 1 - r$, then the second integral tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Therefore we have

$$\xi_{MT}(\Bbbk; s) = \frac{1}{\left(e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}s} - 1\right)\Gamma(s)} A(\Bbbk; s).$$

Since $\xi_{MT}(\Bbbk; s)$ is holomorphic for $\Re(s) > 1 - r$, this function has no singularity at any positive integer. Therefore this gives the analytic continuation of $\xi_{MT}(\Bbbk; s)$ to an entire function. Let $s = -m$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Using (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{MT}(\Bbbk; -m) &= \frac{(-1)^m m!}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} A(\Bbbk; -m) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^m m!}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{C_\varepsilon} t^{-m-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C^{\Bbbk}_{n,MT} \frac{t^n}{n!} dt \\ &= (-1)^m C^{\Bbbk}_{m,MT}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Secondly, we show a relation between the Mordell-Tornheim multiple zeta values and $\xi_{MT}(\Bbbk; m + 1)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For this aim, we consider the following function and give a lemma.

Definition 3. *For* $\Bbbk = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{r+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^r \times \mathbb{N}_0$ *and* $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *with* $|z| < 1$, *let*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Bbbk}(z) = \sum_{m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_r = 1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{\sum_{j=1}^{r} m_j}}{m_1^{k_1} m_2^{k_2} \cdots m_r^{k_r} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} m_j\right)^{k_{r+1}}}. \tag{6}$$

Under the above condition, the sum on the right-hand side of (6) converges absolutely uniformly. We note that $\mathcal{L}_{\Bbbk}(z) = \mathrm{Li}_{k_1+k_2}(z)$ holds for $r = 1$ and $\Bbbk = (k_1, k_2)$. By direct calculation, we have

Lemma 4. *For* $\Bbbk = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r, k_{r+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{r+1}$ *and* $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *with* $|z| < 1$,

$$\frac{d}{dz}\mathcal{L}_{\Bbbk}(z) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{z}\,\mathcal{L}_{\underline{\Bbbk}^{(r+1)}}(z) & (k_{r+1} \geq 2), \\ \dfrac{1}{z}\displaystyle\prod_{j=1}^{r} \mathrm{Li}_{k_j}(z) & (k_{r+1} = 1), \end{cases}$$

where $\underline{\Bbbk}^{(r+1)} = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r, k_{r+1} - 1)$.

Using Lemma 4 and calculating directly, we obtain

Proposition 5. *For* $\Bbbk_1 = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r, 0) \in \mathbb{N}^r \times \mathbb{N}_0$, $\Bbbk = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r)$ *and* $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\xi_{MT}(\Bbbk; m+1) = \frac{1}{m!}\zeta_{MT,m+r}(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r, \{1\}^m; 1).$$

We can recover [3, Corollary 4.2 and Theorem 4.4] as follows.

Corollary 6. *For* $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\zeta_{MT,m+1}(\{1\}^{m+1}; 1) = (m+1)!\zeta(m+2).$$

Proof. By $\xi_1(s) = s\zeta(s+1)$ and Proposition 5, we obtain the assertion. □

3 Main results

In this section, we give main results. We first prepare the following lemma which is necessary to show the first and second main results.

Lemma 7. *For* $s_j \in \mathbb{C}$ *with* $\Re(s_j) > 0$ $(2 \leq j \leq r)$ *and* $\Re(s_{r+1}) > r$,

$$\zeta_{MT,r}(0, s_2, \ldots, s_r; s_{r+1}) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=2}^{r+1}\Gamma(s_j)} \underbrace{\int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty}\cdots\int_0^{\infty}}_{r} \frac{\prod_{j=2}^{r+1} t_j^{s_j-1}}{(e^{t_{r+1}}-1)\prod_{j=2}^{r}(e^{t_j+t_{r+1}}-1)}\,dt_2\cdots dt_r dt_{r+1}. \tag{7}$$

Proof. Using the well-known relation

$$m^{-s} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-mt} dt \quad (m \in \mathbb{N},\ s \in \mathbb{C},\ \Re(s) > 0)$$

for $s_j \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(s_j) > 0$ $(2 \le j \le r)$ and $\Re(s_{r+1}) > r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{j=2}^{r+1} \Gamma(s_j) \times \zeta_{MT,r}(0, s_2, \ldots, s_r; s_{r+1}) \\
&= \sum_{m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_r=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=2}^{r} \left(\int_0^\infty t_j^{s_j-1} e^{-m_j t} dt_j \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_0^\infty t_{r+1}^{s_{r+1}-1} e^{-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} m_j\right) t_{r+1}} dt_{r+1} \right) \\
&= \sum_{m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_r=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty}_{r} dt_2 dt_3 \cdots dt_{r+1} \\
&\quad \times \left(\prod_{j=2}^{r+1} t_j^{s_j-1} \right) \left(e^{-m_1 t_{r+1}} \right) \left(\prod_{j=2}^{r} e^{-m_j (t_j + t_{r+1})} \right) \\
&= \underbrace{\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty}_{r} \frac{\prod_{j=2}^{r+1} t_j^{s_j-1}}{(e^{t_{r+1}-1} - 1) \prod_{j=2}^{r} (e^{t_j + t_{r+1}} - 1)} dt_2 dt_3 \cdots dt_{r+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Changing the order of summation and integration is justified by absolutely convergence. Therefore we complete the proof. □

Using Lemma 7, we have the first main result as follows.

Theorem 8. *For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r-1}{j} (-1)^j \zeta(2)^{r-1-j} \xi_{MT}(\{2\}^j; s) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r-1}{j} (s)_j \zeta_{MT,r}(\{2\}^{r-1-j}, \{1\}^j, 0; s+j).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We first assume $r \ge 2$. For $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(s) > 0$, let

$$J_{MT,r}(s) = \underbrace{\int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty}_{r} dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_r \frac{t_r^{s-1}}{e^{t_r} - 1} \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \frac{t_j + t_r}{e^{t_j + t_r} - 1}.$$

Using

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} \operatorname{Li}_2(1 - e^{-t_j - t_r}) = \frac{t_j + t_r}{e^{t_j + t_r} - 1} \quad (1 \le j \le r-1),$$

we have

$$J_{MT,r}(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \binom{r-1}{j} (-1)^{r-1-j} \zeta(2)^{r-1-j} \Gamma(s) \xi_{MT}(\{2\}^j; s).$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 7 and

$$\zeta_{MT,r}(\ldots,\overset{i}{s_i},\ldots,\overset{j}{s_j},\ldots;s_{r+1})=\zeta_{MT,r}(\ldots,\overset{i}{s_j},\ldots,\overset{j}{s_i},\ldots;s_{r+1})$$

for $1\leq i\leq j\leq r$, we have

$$J_{MT,r}(s)=\sum_{j=0}^{r-1}\binom{r-1}{j}\Gamma(s+j)\zeta_{MT,r}(\{2\}^{r-1-j},\{1\}^{j},0;s+j).$$

By the analytic continuation, we obtain the desired identity in the case $r\geq 2$. When $r=1$, it holds trivially. Therefore we complete the proof. □

By Theorem 8 and Proposition 5, we immediately obtain the second main result as follows.

Corollary 9. *For $r\in\mathbb{N}$ and $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=0}^{r-1}\binom{r-1}{j}\frac{(-1)^j\zeta(2)^{r-1-j}}{m!}\zeta_{MT,j+m}(\{2\}^{j},\{1\}^{m};1)\\&=\sum_{j=0}^{r-1}\binom{r-1}{j}(m+1)_j\zeta_{MT,r}(\{2\}^{r-1-j},\{1\}^{j},0;m+1+j).\end{aligned}$$

Next, in order to evaluate $\zeta_{MT,2k+1}(2,\{1\}^{2k};1)$, we quote [2, (75)]:

(8)

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta(a,b)=\frac{1}{2}&\left\{\left((-1)^b\binom{M}{a}-1\right)\zeta(M)+(1+(-1)^b)\zeta(a)\zeta(b)\right\}\\&+(-1)^{b+1}\sum_{k=1}^{(M-3)/2}\left\{\binom{2k}{a-1}+\binom{2k}{b-1}\right\}\zeta(2k+1)\zeta(M-2k-1),\end{aligned}$$

where $a,b\in\mathbb{N}$ with $a,b\geq 2$ and $M=a+b\equiv 1\pmod 2$.

Remark 10. We note that (8) also holds for $a=1$ providing we remove the term containing $\zeta(1)$.

Combining (8) and Corollary 9 in the case $r=2$, we have the third main result as follows.

Proposition 11. *For $k\in\mathbb{N}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_{MT,2k+1}(2,\{1\}^{2k};1)=(2k)!\Bigg\{&\zeta(2)\zeta(2k+1)-\frac{1}{2}(2k^2+k-2)\zeta(2k+3)\\&+\sum_{n=1}^{k-1}(2k+1-2n)\zeta(2n+1)\zeta(2k+2-2n)\Bigg\}.\end{aligned}$$

Example 12.

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_{MT,3}(2,1,1;1)&=2\zeta(2)\zeta(3)-\zeta(5),\\\zeta_{MT,5}(2,1,1,1,1;1)&=4!\left\{\zeta(2)\zeta(5)+3\zeta(3)\zeta(4)-4\zeta(7)\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

These results correspond to [1, Theorems 6, 8, 9 and Corollary 11]. Results in [1] are relations between $\xi(k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r; s)$ and multiple zeta functions or MZVs. On the other hand, our results are relations between $\xi_{MT}(\Bbbk; s)$ and Mordell-Tornheim multiple zeta functions or Mordell-Tornheim multiple zeta values.

4 A generalization of the function $\xi_{MT}(\Bbbk; s)$

In this section, we consider a certain generalization of the function $\xi_{MT}(\Bbbk; s)$ and aim to generalize Theorem 8.

By the definition (6), for $\Bbbk = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r, k_{r+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^r \times \mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Bbbk}(1 - e^{-t}) = \begin{cases} O(t^l) & \text{if } k_{r+1} = 0 \text{ and } l = \sharp\{j \mid k_j = 1\} \geq 1, \\ O(1) & \text{otherwise} \quad (t \to \infty) \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Bbbk}(1 - e^{-t}) = O(t^r) \ \ (t \to 0)\,. \tag{10}$$

Using (9) and (10), we can define the following function.

Definition 13. *For $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_g \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Bbbk_i = (k_1^{(i)}, k_2^{(i)}, \ldots, k_{r_i}^{(i)}, k_{r_i+1}^{(i)}) \in \mathbb{N}^{r_i} \times \mathbb{N}_0$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(s) > 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{g} r_i$, let*

$$\xi_{MT,g}(\Bbbk_1, \Bbbk_2, \ldots, \Bbbk_g; s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{s-1}}{e^t - 1} \prod_{i=1}^{g} \mathcal{L}_{\Bbbk_i}(1 - e^{-t}) dt. \tag{11}$$

The integral on the right-hand side of (11) converges absolutely uniformly in the region $\Re(s) > 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{g} r_i$. Further we note that

$$\xi_{MT,1}(\Bbbk_1; s) = \xi_{MT}(\Bbbk; s)$$

for $\Bbbk_1 = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r, 0)$, $\Bbbk = (k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r)$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore we can see that Definition 13 is a generalization of the function $\xi_{MT}(\Bbbk; s)$. By the same method as in the proof of Theorem 2, we have

Theorem 14. *For $g, r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_g \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\Bbbk_i \in \mathbb{N}^{r_i} \times \mathbb{N}_0$ $(1 \leq i \leq g)$, the function $\xi_{MT,g}(\Bbbk_1, \Bbbk_2, \ldots, \Bbbk_g; s)$ can be continued analytically to an entire function.*

By the same method as in the proof of Theorem 8, we obtain

Theorem 15. *For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{N-1}$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{J \subset I_{N-1} \\ \sharp J = n}} \left\{ \prod_{j \in I_{N-1} \setminus J} \zeta_{MT,r_j}(\{1\}^{r_j}; 1) \right\} \xi_{MT,n}(\{\mathbf{1}_{r_j+1} \mid j \in J\}; s) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{r})} (s)_n \sum_{\substack{i_1 + \cdots + i_{N-1} = n \\ r_l \geq i_l \geq 0}} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \binom{r_j}{i_j} (r_j - i_j)! \right\} \\ &\quad \times \zeta_{MT,N}\left(r_1 - i_1 + 1, r_2 - i_2 + 1, \ldots, r_{N-1} - i_{N-1} + 1, 0; s + n\right), \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{r_j+1} = (\{1\}^{r_j+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{r_j+1}$, $\xi_{MT,N}(\emptyset; s) = \zeta(s)$, $I_{N-1} = \{1, 2, \ldots, N-1\}$ *and* $\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} r_i$.

Proof. We first assume $N \geq 2$ and define the function $J_{MT,\mathbf{r}}(s)$ by

$$J_{MT,\mathbf{r}}(s) = \underbrace{\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty}_{N} dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_N \times \frac{t_N^{s-1}}{e^{t_N}-1} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{(t_j+t_N)^{r_j}}{e^{t_j+t_N}-1} \quad (s \in \mathbb{C},\ \Re(s) > 0)$$

for $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{N-1}$. It follows from Lemma 4 that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{1}_{r_j+1}}(1 - e^{-t_j-t_N}) = \frac{(t_j+t_N)^{r_j}}{e^{t_j+t_N}-1}.$$

Therefore we have

$$J_{MT,\mathbf{r}}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{J \subset I_{N-1} \\ \sharp J = n}} \left\{ \prod_{j \in I_{N-1} \setminus J} \zeta_{MT,r_j}(\{1\}^{r_j}; 1) \right\} \times \Gamma(s) \xi_{MT,n}(\{\mathbf{1}_{r_j+1} \mid j \in J\}; s)$$

for $\Re(s) > 1$. On the other hand, by Lemma 7, we have

$$J_{MT,\mathbf{r}}(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{r})} \Gamma(s+n) \sum_{\substack{i_1+\cdots+i_{N-1}=n \\ r_l \geq i_l \geq 0}} \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \binom{r_j}{i_j} (r_j - i_j)! \right\} \times \zeta_{MT,N}(r_1 - i_1 + 1, r_2 - i_2 + 1, \ldots, r_{N-1} - i_{N-1} + 1, 0; s+n)$$

for $\Re(s) > N$. By the analytic continuation, we obtain (12) for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ when $N \geq 2$. When $N = 1$, (12) holds obviously. Therefore the proof is completed. □

Remark 16. In particular, Theorem 15 in the case $(N, \mathbf{r}) = (r, \mathbf{1}_{r-1})$ coincides with Theorem 8. Hence we can see that Theorem 15 is a generalization of Theorem 8.

We have not obtained the values of $\xi_{MT,g}(\Bbbk_1, \Bbbk_2, \ldots, \Bbbk_g; m+1)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. But we have a certain lemma as follows.

Lemma 17. *For* $g, r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_g \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{g} r_j! \xi_{MT,g-1}(\mathbf{1}_{r_1+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{1}_{r_{j-1}+1}, \mathbf{1}_{r_{j+1}+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{1}_{r_g+1}; r_j+1) = \prod_{j=1}^{g} \zeta_{MT,r_j}(\{1\}^{r_j}; 1).$$

Remark 18. In particular, combining Corollary 6, Theorem 15 in the case $N = 2$ and Lemma 17 in the case $g = 2$, we have the Euler decomposition (cf. [1]).

$$\zeta(k+1)\zeta(r+1) = \sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{r+m}{r} \zeta(k+1-m, r+1+m) + \sum_{n=0}^{r} \binom{k+n}{k} \zeta(r+1-n, k+1+n) \quad (r, k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

acknowledgments

The author thanks Professor Hirofumi Tsumura for useful advice and pointing out some mistakes and unsuitable expressions.

References

[1] T. Arakawa and M. Kaneko, *Multiple zeta values, poly-Bernoulli numbers, and related zeta functions*, Nagoya Math. J. Vol. **153** (1999), 189–209.

[2] J. M. Borwein, D. M. Bradley and D. J. Broadhurst, *Evaluations of k-fold Euler/Zagier sums: a compendium of results for arbitrary k*, Electron. J. Combin. **4** (1997), no. 2, Research Paper 5, approx. 21 pp.

[3] M. Hoffman, *Multiple harmonic series*, Pacific J. Math., **152** (1992), 275–290.

[4] K. Matsumoto, *On the analytic continuation of various multiple zeta-functions*, in "Number Theory for the Millennium II", Proc. Millennial Conf. on Number Theory (Urbana-Champaign, 2000), M. A. Bennett et al. (eds.), A K Peters, 2002, pp.417–440.

[5] K. Matsumoto, *On Mordell-Tornheim and multiple zeta-functions*, in "Proc. Session in Analytic Number Theory and Diophantine Equations", D. R. Heath-Brown and B. Z. Moroz (eds.), Bonner Math. Scriften **360**, Bonn, 2003, n.15, 17pp.

[6] L. J. Mordell, *On the evaluation of some multiple series*, J. London Math. Soc. **33** (1958), 368–371.

[7] T. Nakamura, *A functional relation for the Tornheim double zeta function*, Acta Arith. **125**, no. 3 (2006), 257–263.

[8] L. Tornheim, *Harmonic double series*, Amer. J. Math. **72** (1950), 303–314.

[9] H. Tsumura, *On functional relations between the Mordell-Tornheim double zeta functions and the Riemann zeta function*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **142**, no. 3 (2007), 161–178.

Takuma Ito
Department of Mathematics and Information Science, Tokyo Metropolitan University, 1-1, Minami-Ohsawa, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397 Japan
e-mail: sugakunotakuma.ito@gmail.com